Tissue Engineering: Key Elements and Some Trends

1. Introduction

It is the believe of many that tissue engineering (or as some scientists prefer – regenerative medicine) is and will increasingly be the focus of biomaterials research, justified by a very significant and well-known clinical need for the establishment of alternative therapies for the treatment of tissue loss or end-stage organ failure, as the transplantation of tissues or organs in these patients is often limited by donor scarcity and is highly associated to the risk of rejection and disease transfers. In this sense, tissue engineering will lead to a great impact on health care providing in the coming decades.

This expected evolution would also create the need for the education of new scientists that are “hybrid” and can perform multidisciplinary research, combining materials and biotechnology. Nevertheless, even the basics of tissue engineering are not clear for many researchers working on the general field of biomaterials and biomedical engineering. Conventional biomaterials have been very useful in the past, and have improved the life quality of many patients. Good examples are many different prostheses, such as for instances the knee and hip joints. However it is easy to recognize that there are still no materials available that can adequately replace several functional tissues, such as bones, cartilage, or large bone segments, not to speak on complex organs. Just as an example, in the world market for bone grafts the so-called synthetic biomaterials represent only 10%, while autografts still account for around 50%. Therefore, despite the enormous benefits the contemporary technology has brought, the outer limits have been reached and new breakthroughs can only be expected from a novel hybrid technology that will allow to test the efficacy of different tissue engineering approaches and the potential of different constructs (distinct combinations of scaffolds/cells/in vitro culturing conditions). Finally, all the related ethical considerations, especially when considering the use of stem cells (and potentially embryonic stem cells) and animal studies, as well as the need for proper standards must be taken into account, and unfortunately tend to differ from country to country.

This introductory essay will very briefly present the state-of-the-art on topics such as: cells for tissue engineering (stem cells, isolation, characterization, etc.), culturing conditions (static, media, bioreactors, etc.), scaffolds (design, processing, choice of materials, etc.) and needed animal models to test the developed tissue engineering strategies and respective constructs.

The Special Topic on Tissue Engineering, that Macromolecular Bioscience invited me to organize as a Guest Editor, comprises a selection of papers that touch several relevant and emerging topics on tissue engineering, providing the reader with a feeling on what is the present status of the field.

2. Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering

Tissue engineering offers the possibility to help in the regeneration of tissues damaged by disease or trauma and in some cases to create new tissues and replace failing or malfunctioning organs. This is typically done through the use of degradable biomaterials to either induce
surrounding tissue and cell ingrowth or to serve as temporary scaffolds for transplanted cells to attach, grow, and maintain differentiated functions.\cite{3–5,7–17} In any case, the role of the biomaterial is temporary, but rather crucial to the success of the strategy. Therefore, the selection of a scaffold material is both a critical and difficult choice.

There are many biocompatible materials available among metals, ceramics and polymers, but the criteria of biodegradability and non-brittle nature (ideally with tissue matching mechanical properties) excludes the use of all metals and most ceramics as scaffolds materials\cite{3,18} and gives preference to biodegradable polymers for most of the
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applications within the tissue engineering and regenerative medicine field.

Consequently, the first aspect of the scaffold design to be considered is related to the selection of the biodegradable polymer that will be used for its design and production. Traditionally, in spite of the wide range of biodegradable polymers available, there is a strong tendency to choose those that have history of regulatory approval. This leads to the use of materials, which were primarily developed for other applications (the so-called “from-the-shelf” materials), instead of letting the application guide the choice of the material.\textsuperscript{[19]} In fact poly(lactic) acid and poly(glycolic acid) are still the gold standard,\textsuperscript{[11,20–24]} although some other groups such as ourselves\textsuperscript{[25–34]} defend the use of other types of biodegradable polymers. This conservative behavior of the research community has created additional difficulties for the development of new materials with improved properties, specifically tailored for tissue engineering applications.

The definition of the most adequate scaffold design and the correspondent required properties, is mainly determined by the tissue engineering approach selected for the regeneration of a specific tissue, as the scaffold must be able to induce the desired tissue response.\textsuperscript{[35,36]} Although three-dimensional porous structures have been recognized as the most appropriate design to sustain cell adhesion and proliferation, several specific applications in tissue engineering may take advantage of other design formats or combination of different materials designs.\textsuperscript{[19]} In fact, as the demand for new and more sophisticated scaffolds develops, materials are being designed that have a more active role in guiding tissue development. Instead of merely holding cells in place, these matrices are designed to accomplish other functions through the combination of different format features and materials.\textsuperscript{[15]} A good example of this is the use of drug delivery devices that can act simultaneously as scaffolds for cells growth. Other approaches include, for example, the combination (or incorporation) of microspheres or nanospheres (with encapsulated cells, growth factors or other therapeutic agents) with a polymeric matrix. This type of multifunctional devices can also be designed to act as an injectable material, with the advantage of allowing minimal invasive surgery procedures for their implantation in the body.

Another important field of current research in tissue engineering scaffolding is related to the development of external-stimuli-responsive matrices,\textsuperscript{[37,38]} i.e., matrices that have in their composition and structure certain elements that allow them to respond to a particular specific stimuli that can be produced by different mechanisms, such as magnetic, electric, ultrasound, irradiation or other effects. Other scaffolds are designed to respond to several physiological stimuli like pH, temperature or enzymatic concentrations changes, just to cite some examples. This can enhance the ability of tissue engineering constructs to resemble natural human tissues and therefore perform a better functioning \textit{in vivo}, but also \textit{in vitro} if provided with adequate culture conditions.

3. Cells for Tissue Engineering

A further important consideration for the most widely studied tissue engineering approaches, which are based on the seeding and extended \textit{in vitro} culturing of cells within the scaffold prior to implantation, is the cell source and the ability to control cell proliferation and differentiation. Primary cells derived from the patient’s own healthy tissues (i.e., autogenic cells) could be the first obvious choice, since this avoids many of the problems associated with immune rejection of foreign tissues.\textsuperscript{[36,39]} However these cells are not, in most cases, readily available in sufficient quantities for immediate use. By \textit{in vitro} culture their number may be increased, but to reach a cell population necessary for a specific application may take from days to weeks,\textsuperscript{[36,39]} mainly depending on cell type. Primary cells derived from normal donors of the same (i.e., allogeneic cells) or different species (i.e., xenogeneic cells) are, at least in concept, readily available in sufficient quantities due to the number of potential donors and to cryopreservation possibilities. However, in this case, rejection by the host’s immune system and the possibility of diseases transmission, are serious risks to be considered.\textsuperscript{[36,39]} The use of cell lines can overcome some of these limitations, but these immortalized cells exhibit some of the properties of neoplastically transformed cells. Therefore, cells lines can be considered to be partially transformed cells with a predisposition to become fully neoplastic cells capable of forming tumors in the recipient.\textsuperscript{[36]}

The recent identification of human embryonic stem cells\textsuperscript{[40,41]} – cells that can give rise to essentially all cell types in the body, depending on the culturing conditions – offers probably the most exciting alternative source of cells for tissue engineering. However, researchers are still far from being able to control the differentiation of embryonic stem cells in culture. In addition, the research on embryonic cells brings up a range ethical and legislative problems\textsuperscript{[40,41]} that differ from country to country. A more immediate goal would be to isolate the so-called progenitor cells from tissues. These are stem cells that have already partly differentiated so as to change the course of their development. However, because they are not yet fully differentiated, they stay flexible enough to give origin to several different cell types.\textsuperscript{[40,41]} For example, from the human bone marrow or from adipose tissue it is possible to isolate progenitor cells that can be differentiated in the laboratory to form osteoblasts that make bone.\textsuperscript{[42]} These recent developments in the stem cell field have impacted significantly on the progress of tissue engineering\textsuperscript{[42]} and have opened a novel avenue for obtaining an unlimited supply of cells.
4. Cell Culturing in Tissue Engineering: Static Conditions and Bioreactors

Besides the selection of the scaffold material and the cell source (the two main components of tissue engineering approaches based on \textit{in vitro} culturing of cells-scaffold constructs), it is necessary to develop more advanced procedures for growing cells in large quantities,\cite{43,44} optimizing the \textit{in vitro} culturing systems currently used. The most widely used culturing technique in tissue engineering studies is static culturing, which is often characterized by non-homogenous cell distribution, confining the majority of the cells to the outer surfaces of the scaffold, which in turn results to an inhomogeneous distribution of the \textit{in vitro} generated extracellular matrix.\cite{45–47} In order to overcome this limitation, several culturing systems which consist basically on using growth chambers equipped with stirrers and sensors that regulate the appropriate amounts of nutrients, gases and waste products have been developed.\cite{45–47} These systems, so-called bioreactors, may have different designs, attempting to achieve one or more of the following objectives: i) maintain an uniform distribution of cells into the 3D scaffolds, ii) provide adequate levels of oxygen, nutrients, cytokines and growth factors iii) expose the cultured cells to mechanical stimuli. Furthermore, experiments involving \textit{in vitro} bioreactor culturing can also be designed to study the effects of specific biochemical and physical signal involved in cell/tissue development and function, providing useful information on the processes that lead to the formation of 3-D tissues starting from cells/scaffolds tissue engineered constructs.\cite{45} Bioreactors are also one of the focus of the development of a manufacturing technology for tissue engineered products that can be used “in the real industrial world”, because they represent a chemically and mechanically controlled environment in which a tissue-like construct can be grown in reproducible conditions.\cite{43}

5. \textit{In Vivo} Functionality: Animal Models

Following \textit{in vitro} studies and prior to clinical trials it is current and logical to perform \textit{in vivo} studies in different animal models.\cite{48–50} Several parameters are in the centre of such evaluation. Inflammatory response for example, is crucial and has to be evaluated for any type of biomaterial since an unresolved inflammatory process will ultimately will lead to rejection. It is however, of major importance to reduce as much as possible the number of animals involved in the \textit{in vivo} evaluation of potential biomaterials and/or tissue engineering scaffolds and constructs.

In our group we believe in an approach that tries to study exhaustively the developed constructs \textit{in vitro} minimizing the number of animal testing. This is also the approach of the European Union (EU) Network of Excellence on Tissue Engineering of Bone and Cartilage that we coordinate (EXPERTISSUES) and involves 20 partners from 13 countries.\cite{51} However before any clinical application of tissue engineering constructs (so called combination products) animal experimentation is always required. For achieving this goal it is crucial to establish strict and standardized protocols of implantation as well as adequate animal models.\cite{48,50} The models have to be optimized in order to provide information in different areas avoiding then the use of excessive animals. The use of implantation procedures that can be compared with others used in different groups is of main importance.

The first step of animal implantation studies that is relevant for tissue engineering involves the implantation of the developed scaffolds, on its own (without cells) or after being seeded with cells, in a subcutaneous model (many times nude mice in order to be able to use human cells).\cite{52,53} The subcutaneously implantation of the scaffolds is aimed in the non-cell seeded materials to determine the effect of a specific material degradation and resorption by products in triggering the immune system and non-specific inflammatory reactions. The implanted species are typically harvested after different times and histological analysis is performed. Classical immunohistochemistry techniques are then used to identify the type of cells at the interface material-tissue and complemented with gene expression analysis \textit{in situ} (ELISA and RT-PCR) for quantification of inflammatory chemical mediators also known to have a major role in resorption processes. It is also possible to use the \textit{in vivo} experiments to apply \textit{in situ} methods of pathology to study the tissue reaction to implanted materials. The same model can be used with scaffolds seeded with cells to look for instances for the osteogenic potential of the constructs in a non bony site local.\cite{53,48}

Another type of approach aims to understand biomaterial-related functionality facilitating advances in the construction of improved and novel scaffolds for tissue engineering. Again subcutaneous implantation can be performed. Properties such as porosity, pore size and interconnectivity of the produced scaffolds are then evaluated in terms of suitability to encourage tissue growth and vascularization.\cite{54–56} Furthermore, it is important the degradation rate to be coupled to the rate of tissue formation, which can also be evaluated in this type of experiments.

More relevant experiments have to be performed in larger animals, typically using critical size (calvarial, femoral, etc.) defects.\cite{57} Typically studies that start from rats and mice proceed to rabbits and goats or pigs, although some groups also use dog models. The size of the animal is especially relevant to assess the effect of load on load-bearing implantation sites. In such type of experiments the developed systems (scaffolds plus cells) are implanted, including empty defects and scaffolds without cells as controls. At appropriate time points animals are sacrificed and tissue formation is analyzed by different methodologies,
namely using micro-CT, immunohistochemistry, and quantitative methodologies.


Tissue engineering has been recognized, for some time, as a promising alternative to the use of autografts or allografts for tissue reconstruction and regeneration. This approach utilizes cells, biomaterial scaffolds and signalling molecules for the repair of diseased or damaged tissues. However, other applications are also envisaged, such as wound dressings and prostheses. Scaffolds are used as temporary templates for cell seeding, proliferation and differentiation, to lead to the regeneration of the tissue.
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