Since South Asia is, unfortunately, the flash political parties in Bangladesh or the power struggle point for the Global War on Terror, despite its linkages in Afghanistan after Soviet withdrawal, South Asia in the Arab world with particular reference to Al-Qaeda faces regional security threats because of internal Qaeda, post-9/11 global politics have altered the crisis and conflicts of the states. The war has shattered the socio-economic order and bring relief to the political infrastructure and security has collapsed, poor, but nothing happened. The Maoists then Fighting the battle of survival on both internal and decided to adopt a revolutionary armed struggle to external fronts, Pakistan is dealing with the menace of Al-Qaeda. India's Scourge. Illustrated throughout, the book discusses representation of 9/11 and the war on terror in Hollywood film, the 9/11 novel, mass media, visual art and photography, political discourse, and revisionist historical accounts of American "empire" between the September 11 attacks and the Congressional midterm elections in 2006. There were also prospects for increasing Islamist militancy in states across East Asia, mainland Europe and North America. The idea of a war on terror was itself a representation of events, a rhetorical construction, a series of stories about 9/11 and about America’s place in the world. As the historian Andrew Bacevich observed, terror was not the source of opposition to the United States, but only one especially malignant expression of it. 3. September 11 Terrorist Attacks, 2001: Influence. 4. Islam and politics in Islamic countries. 5. Islamic fundamentalism. 131 Implications for U.S. National Security Strategy in the Middle East. 139. Implications for the U.S. Military. 411 In the War on Terrorism, Do Not Lose Sight of Long-Term Strategic. Requirements. 412. 11 terrorist attacks and the next step in the war on terrorism. Indeed, the nexus between the three rogue nations and any kind of terrorism was slender, with the president asserting these countries could provide weapons of mass destruction to terrorists. Robert Novak, The war President, January 31, 2002, http://www.townhall.com/columnists/robertnovak/mn20....shtml. Long before September 11, the United States was actively helping Israel preserve that monopoly by maintaining a hypocritical double standard: ignoring Israel’s acquisition of weapons of mass destruction while opposing the transfer of even peaceful nuclear technologies to others. It is significant that the interests of Big Oil and Israel converged on the Osama/Afghanistan issue.