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1. Introduction

It has been postulated that t'aegwondo is Korea's most effective diplomatic tool, achieving what Korea's most skilled diplomats have been unable to accomplish; that is, bring the citizens of advanced western countries to an attitude of respect before the Korean flag.¹ It has been further argued that t'aegwondo, as the Korean national sport, and one of the repositories of traditional, indigenous Korean culture, plays a vital role in preserving traditional Korean culture in the face of western cultural imperialism.²

T'aegwondo, a martial sport, has been given these rather weighty responsibilities because t'aegwondo has been popularized as a unique product of Korean culture, continuously extant in Korean history since the beginning of the Three Kingdoms period, some 1300 years ago. The importance placed on (his history of unique development within Korea is understandable as it provides t'aegwondo with a Korean pedigree (chokpo) granting legitimacy as a traditional Korean institution imbued with an ancient and mysterious past which not only holds great appeal to non-Koreans, but also serves as a source of national pride to Koreans themselves who crave an internationally recognizable symbols of their culture.

The overemphasis on establishing and asserting t'aegwondo's indigenous Korean origins and development, however, has actually been an impediment to t'aegwondo's potential growth and development. T'aegwondo seems to have reached it's goals of international recognition upon its inclusion as an official sport in the 2000 Sydney Olympics, testimony to the incredible growth of t'aegwondo as a sport in the last 35
The main cause of these problems is found in the history of t'aegwondo's origins. The fact that t'aegwondo was first brought into Korea from Japan in the form of Japanese karate around the time of the liberation of Korea from Japanese colonial rule, and the way this fact has been dealt with in Korea has left many serious inconsistencies [81] in the way t'aegwondo has been developed within Korea and propagated abroad.

This process of development can be broadly outlined as follows: Japanese karate called kongsudo or tangsudo was introduced to Korea just after liberation from Japan by Koreans who had learned karate in Japan. Upon returning, these Koreans opened karate gymnasiums promoting what they were teaching as karate, much like the process followed by the early judo instructors. Well after these schools became established, the need to "Koreanize" was felt. The process of Koreanization consisted of three main aspects. The first was the selection of a new, non-Japanese name. The second was the creation of a system of techniques and training which was distinctly different from that of karate, and the third was the attempt to establish t'aegwondo's existence and development within the historical flow of Korean civilization. The development of a new system of techniques and training was under-taken by moving away from karate's nature as a martial art of self-defense through the development of t'aegwondo as a sport? This has been called the "competitionalization" or sportization of t'aegwondo.

This, however, is where the problems which still plague t'aegwondo had their genesis. First of all, the concept of martial art based on the Chinese philosophical concept of tao was developed in Japan beginning with the transformation of swordsmanship from a battlefield necessity to a form of philosophic human movement. This philosophical concept, as it was applied to fighting skills by the Japanese, did not exist in Korea. Rather, during the last half of the Choson dynasty, physical activity, especially of a martial nature, became all object of scorn and a sign of low breeding as seen in the royal court attitude of valuing learning and disregarding martial skill. Koreans' first concrete exposure to this concept of martial art was through the martial arts training judo and kendo under the militaristic education policy affected by the Japanese during the colonial period. This concept was reinforced with the entry of karate into Korea. The propagation of the philosophies associated with karate flourished as did many other Japanese policies and methodologies. This was especially true in the sport and physical education realms as can be seen by the fact that the faculty of the physical education department of Seoul National University at that time consisted almost exclusively of Japanese trained educators whose teaching and training methods were exclusively Japanese.6

While attempting to escape the stigma of Japanese karate through the creation of a new system of techniques based on competition, Korean t'aegwondo had already put itself in a quandary by asserting that its origin was rooted in traditional Korean martial arts such as subakhui or t'aekkyon. So while the nature of t'aegwondo was developing towards that of a martial sport of unique Korean creation and away from its Japanese nature of a
martial art of self-defense, t'aegwondo leaders were unable or unwilling to acknowledge t'aegwondo Japanese origins. Doing so would have freed them from the burden of maintaining an inconsistent position regarding the nature of t'aegwondo and also would have allowed development of a compatible philosophical basis for the newly emerging phenomenon of sport t'aegwondo.

Instead, the t'aegwondo of the 1960s, one which had been accepted as a sport in the Korean National Sports Festival in 1963 and rapidly promoted throughout Korea as a martial sport totally different from karate, could not let go of characteristic Japanese techniques and [81] training methods, and more importantly, Japanese philosophical concepts which formed its original basis. This was due to the fact that t'aegwondo leaders were still relying, to a great extent, on the foundation which these techniques and philosophies provided. This lack of investment in a philosophical foundation for the newly emerging phenomenon of competition t'aegwondo in the 1960s and the dependence on Japanese concepts and philosophies (which correspond more to a Zen martial art of self-defense than to a martial sport) have left t'aegwondo split into two identities. One is the competition identify, the only form which realistically exists today in Korea and which is responsible for t'aegwondo having a structure distinguishable from that of karate. The other is the so-called martial art identity which is ironically referred to as "traditional" t'aegwondo but which is still strongly based, both technically and philosophically, on the foundation of Japanese karate. This problem results from efforts by t'aegwondo leaders to distort the real history of t'aegwondo's development by not acknowledging its Japanese origins. Therefore, competition t'aegwondo which is actually "traditional" t'aegwondo by virtue of the fact that it was developed wholly in Korea in keeping with Koreans' traditional affinity for competitive forms of physical activity is regarded as subordinate to the martial art nature of t'aegwondo which has very little relation to traditional Korean customs or thinking, as least as they existed in the last several hundred years. Consequently, the techniques and training systems of competition t'aegwondo which were developed exclusively in Korea are not recognized for their value as the original core of t'aegwondo because to do so would be to acknowledge that Korean t'aegwondo is a very recent phenomenon, having a history of not more than a few decades. Because of this, little effort has been given to investigating and formulating a philosophical and educational foundation for competition t'aegwondo which could help in overcoming the weaknesses inherent in competitive sport and help to establish a universal culture which will, ultimately, do more for its development than ethnocentric assertions regarding its heritage.

This paper will examine t'aegwondo modern history and development and analyze the identity problem that arose as a result of the lack of recognition of competition t'aegwondo as that t'aegwondo which was actually developed in Korea and which possesses an original nature different from that of karate. Furthermore, this study will propose that t'aegwondo's nature and identity were decided by the process of formation and development in the course of its "competitionalization" in Korea. Finally the basis for a philosophy of t'aegwondo will be proposed which, it is hoped, will assist in overcoming its division into sport and martial art, as well as, aid in providing a proper
understanding of the identify and nature t'aegwondo as determined by the actual techniques, training methods and culture of t'aegwondo.

II. Problems in the Popular Treatment of T'aegwondo's History

Most historical treatments of t'aegwondo follow approximately the same syllabus. The textbook published by the Korean Minister of Education in 1976 serves as a good example of the typical writings regarding t'aegwondo history which begins with two pages dealing with the probable need and origin of fighting skills in prehistoric, tribal Korea. Next are about 20 pages dealing with the sonbae of Koguryo and the hwarang of Shilla and their practice of t'aegwondo which was then called subak or taekkyon. Following are five pages regarding the subakhui of the Koryo dynasty. Then five pages regarding the t'aekkyon of the Choson dynasty, and, finally, two sentences dealing with the fortunes of t'aegwondo in the period from the end of the 19th century until the liberation of Korea from Japanese colonial rule in 1945. A review of the available literature shows this to be a typical pattern. From an academic point of view, however, this seems an illogical treatment of the history. Much more effort is devoted to attempting to demonstrate that some sort of unarmed fighting form existed in Korea during a period in which there is little or no written historical documentation, while practically no attention is given to the period in which t'aegwondo actually began to appear in its modern form in Korea, and for which there is much more historical evidence. This paper does not require an examination of the period before the end of the Choson dynasty except for some comments regarding the nature of subak during the Chosun dynasty. The general assertion that t'aegwondo is the direct descendant of t'aekkyon is substantially the issue which demands objective investigation. Therefore, the nature and status of t'aekkyon from the late Choson period until the time that the first karate gymnasiums began to appear in Korea circa 1946-1947 is of much greater historical significance.

Two of the more prominent t'aegwondo leaders of that period, Hwang Ki and Ch'oe Hong-hui, had practiced t'aekkyon and later incorporated its kicking techniques into the methods of Japanese karate. Most t'aegwondo histories will not admit to any relation to karate whatsoever. Hwang uses this relation with t'aekkyon to explain t'aegwondo's emphasis on foot techniques.10 Primarily, it is important to understand the nature of t'aekkyon in Choson and early colonial society.

We can find the first references to subak, which, it is claimed, is the predecessor of t'aekkyon, in the Koryosa (History of Koryo) circa 1147.11 These references to subak continue into the Choson dynasty, however, even as early as 1343 subak was being referred to as a spectator sport and not a martial art.12 The first reference to t'aekkyon comes from a book called the Chaemulbo written by Yi Song-gi during the reign of King Chongjo (1776-1800) where it is referred to as t'aekkyon. In the mid 1800s, an artist of the royal court named Yu Suk (1827-1873) painted a mural called the Taek'oedo in which t'aekkyon and ssirum are being contested as folk games in the midst of much smoking and drinking.
In 1921, at the age of 70, Ch’oe Yong-nyon described t’aeckkyon in his book, Haedong chukchi, as a game in which two partners squared off and tried to knock each other down with their feet. He went on to say, "This became a means of exacting revenge for a slight or winning away an opponent’s concubine through betting. Due to this, the game was outlawed by the judiciary and eventually disappeared." Many writers have tried to assert that t’aeckkyon was forced underground as a result of being outlawed by the Japanese during the colonial period due to its potential as a source of anti-Japanese revolt. In fact some have gone a step further and, after stating that the Japanese outlawed t’aeckkyon, attempted to explain the use of the name karate (kongsu and tangsu) in post-liberation Korea and the use of karate forms, (hyong) by stating that, due to t’aeckkyon’s similarity to karate, the Japanese forced Koreans to use the name karate in referring to t’aeckkyon and to include Japanese forms in its practice. This seems to be an apparent contradiction. If the Japanese had banned the practice of t’aeckkyon, how and why would they force Koreans to call it karate or incorporate karate techniques into it? This is a moot point. According to both Ch’oe Yong-nyon and Song Tok-ki, the last progeny of Choson t’aeckkyon, t’aeckkyon had, for the most part, faded out of folk culture shortly after the turn of the century. Ch’oe Yong-nyon stated that due to gambling and other unsavory aspects deemed harmful to the preservation of healthy social customs, t’aeckkyon was forbidden and even youngsters seen playing it were chased with a switch by the village elders. In this way it soon disappeared.

T’aeckkyon seems to have suffered the same fate as that of another Choson era folk game called p’yon ssaum which was an organized rock fighting between two teams, usually two villages. This game was popular since the Koryo dynasty and was watched by kings, as was subak. However, King Sejong was so horrified by the primitiveness of it that he ordered it banned. Nevertheless it survived repeated attempts at prohibition by the judiciary which finally succeeded in abolishing it sometime after the turn of the century. Both t’aeckkyon and p’yon ssaum are listed in a book called Korean Games written in 1895 by all American scholar named Stuart Culin who describes t’aeckkyon as a game in which the object is to kick the opponent’s leg out from under him or catch the opponent’s kick and throw him to the ground. He goes on to say that the game was also played in Japan. In a similar book called Han’guk-ui minsok nori (Korean Folk Games), written in 1975 by a Korean scholar of Korean folk customs named Shim U-song, a good deal of attention is given to rock fighting but there is no mention of t’aeckkyon. Further testimony to the completeness of t’aeckkyon’s disappearance from Korean folk customs is given by Song Tok-ki the Choson’s "last t’aeckkyon player" who was invited in 1958 to give a demonstration of t’aeckkyon on the occasion of then President Syngman Rhee’s birthday. In spite of searching in "100 directions" he was unable to locate even one person versed in t’aeckkyon with whom he could demonstrate. This in spite of hundreds of t’aegwondo schools throughout the country. Song Tok-ki goes on to say that t’aeckkyon was never thought of as other than a game and existed almost exclusively in Seoul where it was played regularly in a few locations. These are crucial points in the argument that t’aegwondo came from t’aeckkyon. Especially when Ch’oe Hong-hui, the individual who claims to have combined the techniques of t’aeckkyon and karate, says he learned t’aeckkyon from his calligraphy teacher Han Il-dong in Hamgyong-do province, in what is now North
Korea. This statement conflicts with the testimony of Song Tok-ki who said that t’aekkyon was mostly a Seoul phenomenon. And further, it is highly unlikely that the rough and tumble atmosphere of the t’aekkyon matches was a place where an artist of calligraphy like Han Il-dong would have been found, especially in light of the distaste the educated of the late Choson had for the rustic folk play of the common class.

Ch’oe, who graduated from the law school of Japan’s Chuo University in 1943, admits to having attained a second degree black belt while in Japan and says that upon his return to Korea he combined this training with t’aekkyon techniques to create t’aegwondo. Ch’oe was not, however, the first or foremost among those who started martial art gyms in Korea. He was not one of the founders of the original five schools, or p’a. These schools were the Chongdogwan founded by Yi Won-guk, the Mudo-kwan, founded by Hwang Ki, the Yonmugwan founded by Chon Sang-sop, the Kwonbop Tojang, founded by Yun Pyong-in and the Songmugwan founded by No Pyongjik. All five of these original school founders received their training in Japan in Japanese karate and of the five gyms, all but the Kwonbop Tojang used the name karate (either kongsudo or tangsudo). Ch’oe himself later became the honorary head of the Chongdogwan in 1953 while it was still using the name tangsudo. Ch’oe was responsible for proposing the name t’aegwondo, a name he says he chose for its similarity in pronunciation to t’aekkyon. The name was proposed at a meeting of prominent businessmen, soldiers and martial artists in 1955; however, it took 11 more years before the name was to be officially accepted, when in 1966 the Korean T’aesudo Association changed its name to the Korean T’aegwondo Association.

What is more significant than the fact that practically all the schools in Korea were using the name karate and the Japanese terminology for the techniques, is that the forms and training methods were also Japanese, with no techniques or terminology resembling those of t’aekkyon. For these early instructors this was not a problem. The nationalistic and political motivations to portray t’aegwondo as having Korean origins would not be felt until sometime after the more pressing problems created by the Korean War had started to fade away.

Once this movement to “Koreanize” t’aegwondo started, there were three major projects to be undertaken. First was finding a suitable Korean name. The more difficult task of providing a historical basis for t’aegwondo followed, along with the most difficult part of the process: developing an original system of techniques by which to distinguish t’aegwondo from karate.

The fact that in the period from after liberation until the early 1960s t’aegwondo consisted of Japanese terminology and techniques was the most awkward obstacle in trying to assert that t’aegwondo originated in Korea. This is perhaps the reason why this crucial period receives so little historical treatment. The second generation of instructors who had received their training exclusively in Korea under first generation Japanese trained instructors solved the problem by an original system of techniques by developing a method of competition radically different from the Japanese system. This attempt was made, however, in the face of much opposition from the first generation
instructors such as Ch’oe Hong-hui and Hwang Ki. In spite of that, this effort was successful, perhaps even beyond its creators’ expectations. Through the competitionalization of t’aegwondo a system of interrelated kicking techniques, footwork, and balance of attack-counterattack-re-attack evolved the likes of which had never before existed. Opposition to this newly developing system of sparring and training was strong, however, and came, surprisingly enough, from those first generation instructors who, while trying to assert that t’aegwondo was different from karate were, at the same time, reluctant to give up the techniques and philosophies they had learned from the Japanese. Ch’oe Hong-hui and Hwang Ki for instance, were not only opposed to a change in the emphasis away from forms training toward sparring, but as late as 1966, Ch’oe who was honorary chairman of the Korean T’aesudo Association, was advocating exclusive use of the non-contact sparring system of karate due to his reluctance to alter his philosophy that t’aegwondo was, above all else, a lethal martial art which can kill with one blow. This is the Japanese concept of “one blow one death,” a good example of the Korean instructors’ dependence on Japanese philosophical concepts which impeded them from developing a philosophical basis for the newly emerging Korean t’aegwondo. [86] Ch’oe was insisting on the continued use of the Japanese competition system which did not use a body protector, did not allow hard contact and did not prohibit punching to the face, the three major reasons that t’aegwondo was able to develop a unique kicking system which distinguished it from karate. This in spite of the fact that the Korean T’aesudo Association had already implemented the changes in the sparring system that Ch’oe opposed starting in 1963 with t’aegwondo’s inclusion in the Korean National Sports Festival and including various major competitions, under this system in 1964, 1965 and 1966 including national middle school, high school, and university competitions. It was precisely at this point, where t’aegwondo was actually beginning to develop its own unique techniques and culture, that the split into sport and martial art had its genesis and that competition t’aegwondo missed the opportunity to develop its own unique philosophical basis and identity as the real “traditional” Korean t’aegwondo. What was called martial art in Korea was based almost entirely on Japanese principles, concepts and techniques and remains largely so today. These principles and philosophies support the perception of t’aegwondo’s nature as that of a martial art of self-defense whose core training methods consisted of the so-called “four elements” of forms (p’umse or hyung), breaking (kyukpa), sparring (kyorugi) and self-defense techniques (hoshinsul). The t’aegwondo that was developed wholly in Korea based mainly on sparring and institutionalized as sport competition was, and still is, perceived to be a subordinate element of the “parent body” of t’aegwondo, that is, martial art.

In Korea, it is generally recognized that the development process of competition t’aegwondo produced a technical system and training format separate and unique from martial art t’aegwondo, but the general assertion is that the goals and values of the two are different. Some of these stated differences are as follows;
1) The goals of the martial art t’aegwondo are self-development and spiritual improvement, while the goals of competition t’aegwondo are demonstrating one’s superiority over an opponent, i.e. winning.

2) Martial art t’aegwondo reflects eastern values while competition t’aegwondo reflects western ones.

3) Martial art t’aegwondo is process-oriented, while competition t’aegwondo is result oriented.

4) Martial art t’aegwondo is formalized while competition t’aegwondo is not. These distinctions illustrate the fact that while the competitionalization process enabled t’aegwondo to form its unique and characteristic technical system, this technical system (the sparring system) was not recognized as the parent body of t’aegwondo and little research was done which might have given competition t’aegwondo the philosophical and conceptual basis it needed to supplant the recently adopted philosophies and concepts of karate. Instead these were maintained as the foundation of t’aegwondo leading ultimately to the contradictions and divisions that t’aegwondo now faces.

One of the main reasons for the divisions and inconsistencies in t’aegwondo is the fact that the history of t’aegwondo’s development process has not been objectively treated. Furthermore, the political and nationalistic nature of most of the literature regarding t’aegwondo not only make an honest and realistic treatment of t’aegwondo increasingly difficult, but the utilization of t’aegwondo for political and nationalistic purposes is creating further obstacles to the establishment of an identity for t’aegwondo based on its uniqueness apart from karate.

An example of this political and nationalistic nature can be seen in the value placed on t’aegwondo as a tool to be used for diplomatic purposes and the economic benefit of Korea. T’aegwondo serves as a method of increasing economic profits for Korea, as well as a tool for the accomplishment of political objectives in newly developing countries. T’aegwondo’s efficacy in achieving various political and nationalistic objectives rests squarely on its image as the unique and traditional martial art of Korea. If the perception that t’aegwondo is somehow related to Japanese karate is spread, this could deal a fatal blow to t’aegwondo’s ability to achieve these objectives. The extent to which t’aegwondo has been politicized and nationalized can only contribute to the reluctance to objectively clarify t’aegwondo’s historical development and the identity and formative characteristics which were produced by this process of development. And this in turn will impede the clarification of t’aegwondo’s educational and philosophical values as they are formed by these characteristics.

III. Significance of the Process of T’aegwondo’s "Competitionalization"

The significance of t’aegwondo’s technical development away from the nature of purely martial self-defense toward a competition of physical skill and mental strategy can be seen in the following points: First, as examined above, was the creation of an original
and unique technical system which developed kicking techniques to a level never before reached. Second were the changes in the social and philosophical nature of t'aegwondo elicited by this process.

1. Social Changes: The Modernization of the Martial Arts

Looking first at the social changes that t'aegwondo encountered when it began to develop into a sport, we must consider the evolution of martial arts in general. The process of the evolution of martial art from a soldier's tool to a method of spiritual and physical education has, almost without exception, been accompanied by the development of a safe means of competitive sparring. This began with the transformation of kendo in Japan from a method of large-scale battlefield warfare to that of a method of personal combat between two individuals, culminating, with the legal and social changes in Japanese society, in a safe method of physical and mental training. This process can be seen in the evolution of civilization in which fighting using lethal force became the domain of the military or constabulary and not that of the individual citizen. Judo underwent a similar process in which it evolved from a "technique" of actual fighting to a "process" of education. This was done in both cases by making necessary modifications to the techniques and training methods so that the degree to which the techniques had been embodied by the practitioner could be tested through sparring, and ultimately, competition.38 Sparring took the place of actual combat in the process of training. Many karate instructors in Japan, however, did not understand the significance of this process and by insisting that karate must maintain its lethality, actually hindered its development. The first generation instructors in Korea were also greatly influenced by this thinking and this was, as stated above, one of the reasons that sparring was not recognized as a proper core element of t'aegwondo. This reluctance to give up the pre-modern perception of martial art, that is, the acquisition of lethal combat techniques as the ultimate technical objective39 and that philosophical value is based in not using them against another human being,40 created some inconsistencies in the philosophy of technique. The main reason that forms and repetition of the basics were the main training methods of pre-competition t'aegwondo is because the actual application of the techniques was considered impossible due to their supposed lethality, and therefore, philosophically unacceptable; therefore, breaking inanimate objects became an important element of the training process to overcome this inconsistency between the practice of technique and the prohibition of the use of that technique. As the process of modernization centered the focus of training on sparring, kendo, judo, t'aegwondo, and, to some extent karate took on the characteristic of sport.

Paradoxically, westerners who have a long tradition of competitive sport and rational empiricism were initially much more fascinated by the enigmatic mysticism of the non-competitive aspects of the martial arts. Westerners, without really understanding the differences between that which is eastern custom, or culture, and that which is philosophy unique to martial art, were captivated by what they perceived as a mystical short cut to wisdom and power not found in their culture,41 something that some Korean
instructors were quick to perceive and clever in exploiting when teaching t'aegwondo to westerners.\textsuperscript{42} The values of competition t'aegwondo, being similar to that of sport and physical education, were based on hard training and actual application of techniques against an opponent, while the nature of non-competition martial arts was such that their actual performance (real fighting) was something, it was taught, to be avoided at all costs, thus creating a convenient sanctuary from which instructors could teach exotic looking techniques and expound profound philosophies which would likely never be tested. This mentality remains strong in westerners, who seem to prefer the mysterious tantalization of exotic techniques and philosophies to that which can be concretely and objectively explained applied and evaluated through competition.

2. Philosophical Significance of Competition T'aegwondo

As previously stated, the major significance in the development of t'aegwondo through competition was the creation of a new system of techniques which established the basis, not only for t'aegwondo's differentiation karate, but also for more universal philosophical and educational values which could be directly realized through the training process.

The aspects of the competition system which generated the development of the original techniques by which t'aegwondo became clearly distinguished from karate and in which new, more modern training values were posited are as follows:

A. The prohibition of attacking the face with hand techniques.

B. The prohibition of attacking below the waist.

C. The prohibition of grabbing the opponent.

D. The use of body protection making full-contact possible.\textsuperscript{89}

E. A scoring system which awarded points only for accurate blows of substantial power (full-contact).

F. The regulations which allow continuous fighting without interference from the referee (except in cases where the flow of the match must be re-established or a warning given).

All of these points were radically different from the competition regulations of karate at the time.

The initial result of these regulations was, first and foremost, an intense period of experimentation with new ways to kick and new footwork patterns which would provide the ability to kick in various combinations. This process produced a new technical system which included totally new kicking techniques as well as substantial development in the speed, power, and manner of execution of existing kick\textsuperscript{43} including
the instep which had not been used before the development of the new sparring system.⁴⁴

Another radical development was the change from karate's technical philosophy of attack-block-counterattack to that of attack-counterattack. This change in philosophy (away from victory, i.e. symbolic death, being decided by one technique) and the accompanying evolution of the technical system ultimately created a perfect mutual balance of techniques wherein technique A defeats technique B, technique C defeats technique A, while technique B defeats technique C, ad infinitum. In karate, blocking the opponent's attack before counterattacking is emphasized in all the training patterns. As t'aegwondo's techniques evolved through constant experimentation in competition, the blocking stage disappeared due to its ineffectiveness in the new system of techniques. The natural interrelation or synchronicity of techniques made blocking obsolete. For example, in the case of a kicking attack coming to the chest, to block the kick and then counter-kick required so much time that the opponent would have already moved to make the counter ineffective. Competitors realized that it was possible to kick at the same time as the attacker, or with only a slight delay, and counter the attack almost simultaneously without blocking. This was possible due to the synchronicity of the techniques and the system of footwork which developed. The footwork system of t'aegwondo radically differentiated it from karate and made possible simultaneous counterattacking as well as combination kicking attacks which did not exist in karate.

Not only did t'aegwondo develop away from the techniques of karate through competitionalization, but also escaped from some of the philosophical inconsistencies inherent in karate's nature as self-defense technique. The objective of training in a technique is the acquisition of that technique. Of course, the process of that acquisition has important philosophical value, still, the objective of technique itself is to perfect a technique to the point where it can be successfully utilized. The implicit objective of karate technique is to strike an opponent with enough force to disable, or kill if necessary. That is why, it is said, that competition is unrealistic, "Karateists cannot easily engage in their art for the sake of sport .... It is too lethal a game ... karate does not provide a convenient arena for relatively harmless contests.... That's not to say that some people aren't attempting to make it into a sport.... I prefer no-contact karate, in which participants aren't allowed to touch or are not allowed to do each other harm. It preserves the look and philosophy of karate and maintains all the benefits of full-contact."⁴⁵ Herein lies the inconsistency, the training methods of main-stream karate (there are some schools which have moved away from this thinking, most notably the Kyokushinkai style) prohibit, by their philosophy, accomplishing the objectives of their techniques. That is, there is no way short of actual combat, which is to be avoided at all costs save one's life,⁴⁶ to accomplish the successful execution of a technique. The reason that breaking was developed as a method of training was to test the "lethality" of a technique. However, this is only a partial fulfillment of the objective of the technique. The missing element is a skilled and resisting opponent.
T'ae gwondo, by developing a system of competition in which the technical values were posited not in the ability to defeat an opponent in actual combat, but to successfully execute technique in a full-contact contest of predetermined skills, overcame this inconsistent limitation which existed in karate. The objective of technique was changed from the one blow-one death orientation which was, realistically speaking, an abstract philosophy to most trainees, to the perfection of high level techniques which could be successfully applied to in equally skilled and determined opponent. This system gives value to the factors of power, accuracy, and strategy, and further, recognizes the player who executes the more difficult techniques through a scoring system which rewards the execution of superior technique (a face kick being more valuable than a body kick). The philosophy of technique can be seen in the following three elements:

A. Opposition (sangdaseong)-Technique, including the element of strategy, only reaches its full significance in interaction with, and application against, opposing technique and strategy within the principle of the emptiness and fullness of time and space. This is the governing principle of t'aegwondo sparring. Time refers to the relative timing, including speed, of the two bodies' motion. Space refers to the relative distance between the bodies and the "emptiness" or "fullness" of that space. When a technique is executed, it exists within the dimensions of time and space as do all moving bodies. What this means is that when a body moves it creates "full" space and "empty" space depending on the characteristics of that motion. In t'aegwondo, for example, when a face kick is executed, the space occupied by the leg and foot is "full," however, at the same time an "empty" space has been created, in this case the body area. So when a face kick is attempted, the space around the body of the attacker becomes "empty" and vulnerable for a counterattack. Likewise with time, the speed and the relative timing of both players' motions determine the duration in which a space will remain empty before becoming full. The understanding, and manipulation of these principles and the unique techniques of t'aegwondo make its sparring system different from all others. None of these points have meaning, of course, without an opponent. It is precisely the existence of an opponent which makes the completion of technique possible.

B. Completion (sonch'wisong)- Because of the presence of an opponent and the nature of the system of sparring (the rules), t'aegwondo techniques can attain full completion or their objective. That is, the technical objective of training can be fully realized. The technical objective being the powerful and accurate execution of a recognized technique to a legal target area. Completion is something that was not possible, short of mortal combat, when the stated objectives of technique were to injure or kill. Therefore, by making the attainment of sparring techniques the ultimate technical objective, it became possible to test and perfect technique on a daily basis through sparring. The sense of accomplishment which accompanies the successful execution of technique against a skilled and resisting opponent became a common occurrence. Further, these frequent opportunities to successfully complete technique made the goal of perfecting technique possible.
C. Perfection (wanbyoksong) Due to the design of the t'aegwondo sparring system and the nearly perfectly balanced interrelation of techniques, the number and type of technical exchanges and situations is finite. In fact it is quite limited according to the principle of the emptiness and fullness of time and space. This gives t'aegwondo sparring the element of predictability. That is, through control and manipulation of the opponent's time and space it is possible to anticipate or create the unfolding technical situation. This makes it possible to execute techniques that are "perfect" in relation to the technical demands of the situation. Further, due to the fact that the progress of sparring is not unnecessarily interrupted, this situational anticipation and response can be continuous making the ideal goal of the "perfect game," the continuous, total technical and psychological manipulation and domination of the opponent, theoretically possible.

As a philosophical value, establishing this technical perfection as the objective of training places the demands of ceaseless mental and physical training upon the trainee; it also adds the element of artistry. In this case, artistry can be defined as bringing order to chaos through skillful technique. Put another way, this can be seen as the moment when the disorder existing in the time and space between two forcefully opposing bodies is put into order by the "perfect" (successful) execution of one technique against another technique or situation. The player has the ability to create (creation being the basis of artistry) dependant upon subjective perception (anticipation) and skillful execution. This is what Slusher is speaking of when he says that in sport, "particularly competitive sport, the player gets the opportunity to be purely self engaged in the act of becoming.... To open oneself up, and, in the process transcend the self."47 Esposito says regarding this, that "Sport ... does make such forms of transcendence possible.... It is precisely one's Opening oneself to possibilities that produce the feelings of achievement or failure so essential to the awareness of having become something one was not at an earlier point."48

These above concepts form the foundation for the technical philosophy of t'aegwondo. However, much more effort in the fields of research into philosophy and the realization of that philosophy in the training halls and competition arenas is needed. It is vital for the future development of t'aegwondo to establish and promulgate a philosophical and educational basis upon which the actual nature and modern identity of t'aegwondo can be securely founded.

IV. Conclusion

T'aegwondo currently faces something of a crisis in identity and direction as a result of the confusion and distortion regarding its historical origins and process of development. This is due in large part to the efforts to portray t'aegwondo as a unique product of Korean culture, developed over the long course of Korean history since the Three Kingdoms period. The fact that t'aegwondo is the product of Japanese karate, introduced into Korea just after liberation, and the efforts to deny or conceal this fact have left t'aegwondo divided into two identities: that of martial art based on self defense and that of competition.
It is due to this reluctance to deal objectively with the fact that t'aegwondo evolved away from karate through the process of developing from a martial art of self-defense into a modern system of competitive sparring based on an original system of techniques, that sparring, the essential nature of t'aegwondo, has not been fully recognized. And further, that a contradiction exists wherein so-called, "traditional t'aegwondo" is still largely based on the training principles and philosophies of karate. This while competition t'aegwondo, which was originated wholly in Korea, is considered only a subordinate element of the "whole" of t'aegwondo.

This reluctance to acknowledge t'aegwondo's karate background made it impossible for early t'aegwondo leaders to let drop some of their dependence on karate philosophies and principles and actively pursue the task of establishing philosophical and education goals for competition based t'aegwondo which would have helped it to retain the values of a martial art while possessing a modern, universal nature. The fact that competition t'aegwondo was exclusively developed in Korea without this foundation led to its loss of recognition as other than a game lacking deeper value as physical education practiced by young semi-professional athletes, small children, and new army conscripts. Further, this has led to a severe split in, and misunderstanding of the actual identity of t'aegwondo.

The fact that competition t'aegwondo is imbued with plentiful philosophical and educational values sufficient to establish it as the core, universal nature of t'aegwondo is tantalizing, but difficult to realize due to the reluctance and lack of effort on the part of those who administer t'aegwondo. As time goes by, the task of rectifying this will become increasingly more difficult, and the price t'aegwondo will have to pay increasingly heavy.
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